Subject: Test #35  
Posted by cdyancey on Sat, 25 Mar 2017 15:31:20 GMT  
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

There's nothing worse than failing only one test...

Still not sure how to debug this though. Is the debug dropbox the only way? It doesn't make any sense to me that I would fail #35 but not #36 or #37.

Subject: Re: Test #35  
Posted by asvivian on Sat, 25 Mar 2017 19:13:10 GMT  
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'm in the same boat, #36 and #37 ran successfully (although they were failed for some formatting issues), but #35 gave me the message "the test did not succeed, failing at this point" after printing the first five levels of the tree. Would love to know how to ensure this won't happen again aside from using the debug dropbox.

Subject: Re: Test #35  
Posted by jtevans3 on Mon, 27 Mar 2017 17:05:23 GMT  
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I ran into this too...somehow my tree printed out exactly opposite of how it should be. Did either of you run into that?

Subject: Re: Test #35  
Posted by cdyancey on Mon, 27 Mar 2017 17:42:33 GMT  
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

No, this was my error:

TRYING YOUR BST MODULE WITH ALTERNATE CODE

successes so far: 35
trials so far: 35

TEST #35
   bstrees -r ../clean.1 ../c35.1

the test did not succeed; it timed out

It's the only test I failed, and so I don't understand why this one did but the other replacements did not.
I'd recommend making an appointment with lusth. I did that and he will run your code on his computer and should be able to hone in what is causing the error. Other than that I don't really think there is a way to troubleshoot his tests. You can even email him and he might be able to send something back quickly if anything obvious pops out. I of course can't speak for his schedule or anything.

jtevans3 wrote on Mon, 27 March 2017 12:05

I ran into this too...somehow my tree printed out exactly opposite of how it should be. Did either of you run into that?

I had that. I noticed my comparator functions was flipped, so I corrected it, flipped the code around, and tested it.

It all came out clean on my end, but led to me failing /every/ alternate code test.

I don't even know at this point.